# **CR** The Institute of Cancer Research

# Transcriptomic and immune heterogeneity drive

Sarah Nash<sup>1</sup>, Ioannis Roxanis<sup>1</sup>, Syed Haider<sup>1</sup>, Chris Starling<sup>1</sup>, Vandna Shah<sup>2</sup>, Anca Mera<sup>3</sup>, James Rosekilly<sup>4</sup>, Cheryl G<sup>20-</sup> 1) Breast Cancer Now Toby Robins Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London 2) Breast Cancer Genetics, King's College London 3) Guy's & St. Thom <sup>2</sup> <sup>15-</sup>

### Introduction

Invasive Lobular Carcinoma (ILC) is the second most common histological subtype of breast cancer (BC) accounting for 10 - 15% of all BCs. It is a unique disease entity with distinct histological appearances, molecular alterations and clinicopathologic features. It also has a unique yet poorly understood tumour immune microenvironment.

A subgroup of ILC patients have clinically aggressive disease with metastases occurring early (< 3 years) after primary diagnosis. In particular pleomorphic ILC, a distinct histological subtype has been associated with more aggressive clinical features. These patients have limited treatment options and represent a clinically unmet need. There is thus a need to better understand the molecular basis and transcriptional drivers of aggressive ILC, as well of the immune landscape to help identify potential new drug targets to improve patient outcomes.

#### The macrophage M2/M1 ratio is prognostic in pleomorphic ILC

CD68 ar

1 H F L + 1 T B H B

CANCER

RESEARCH





## Methods

#### In this project we:

- Perform RNA sequencing in needle macro-dissected pleomorphic ILCs<sup>34-3</sup>(n<sup>53</sup> = 47) <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> and <sup>4</sup> cre<sup>4</sup>/<sub>4</sub> cre<sup>4</sup>/<sub>4</sub> are <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> are <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> prognostic gene expression risk predictor using a random forest model to validate this in independent ILC cohorts
- 2) Characterise the immune microenvironment histologically through the quantification of stronal TILS in non-pleomorphic (n = 100) and pleomorphic (n = 63)  $ILC = \frac{34.00}{34.00}$
- 3) Characterise the immune subpopulations<sup>®</sup> at the protein level in pleomorphic LCs (stromal TILs ≥ 5%, n = 20) using NanoString Digital Spatial profiling with the GeoMx® Human<sup>®</sup> mmuno-Oncology (IC) Panel and validate findings using immun<sup>®</sup> to the protein level in pleomorphic level in pleomorphi
- 4) Characterise transcriptomic heterogeneity and associations with the interval  $\mathbb{R}^{0.2}$  infiltrate in pleomorphic ILCs (stromal TILs  $\geq 4\%$ , n = 10) using Nano String  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$  and associations with the interval  $\mathbb{R}^{0.2}$  infiltrate in pleomorphic interval  $\mathbb{R}^{1.55}$  (0.74–325),  $\mathbb{R}^{-0.246}$  (0.005) ILCs (stromal TILs  $\geq 4\%$ , n = 10) using Nano String  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{1}$

Identification of a pleomorphic gene expression risk predictore Time (Years) 34



356 prognostic genes from KHP discovery cohort predict overall survival in TCGA, METABRIC, SCAN-B

iii) H&E of non-pleomorphic case 3589 and iv) corresponding CD68 IHC showing mainly absent staining (1.33%). C) KM graph showing the association between CD68 levels and MFS using tertiles in i) pleomorphic ILC (n = 52, 34 - 66%: p = 0.473, HR: 0.64, CI: 0.19 - 2.17, > 66%: p = 0.341, HR: 0.58, CI: 0.19 - 1.77) and ii) non-pleomorphic ILC (n = 73, 34 - 66%: p = 0.358, HR: 1.38, CI: 0.69 - 2.74, > 66%: p = 0.812, FR: 0.9, CI: 0.36 - 2.21) D)Top panel: gepresentative no-relapse pleomorphic ILC case showing CD68 staining but almost absence of CD163 staining on IHC (left) and corresponding QuPath image. Bottom panel: representative relapse pleomorphic ILC case 17058347 showing CD68 staining and a higher proportion of CD163 staining on IHC (left) and corresponding QuPath image. E) KM graph showing association between M2/M1 ratio scores and MFS in pleomorphic ILC (n = 34, 34 - 66%: p = 0.25, HR: 2.78, CI: 0.49 - 15.82, > 66%: p = 0.807, HR: 15.76, CI: 2:14 - 116.27).

**Figure 1: Validation of prognostic gene expression signature in independent ILC coho** associated with metastasis-free survival (MFS) in the pleomorphic KHP cohort. Using a rand (OS) in i) TCGA (n = 161, p = 0.002), ii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (OS) in i) TCGA (n = 161, p = 0.002), iii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (OS) in i) TCGA (n = 161, p = 0.002), iii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (OS) in i) TCGA (n = 161, p = 0.002), iii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (n = 0.002), iii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (n = 0.002), iii) (n = 0.002), iii) METABRIC (n = 109, p = 0.004), iii) SCAN-B (n = 91/7, 0.33\%) (n = 0.002), iii) (n = 0.002), iii

#### Pleomorphic ILC has higher stromal TILs





#### High CD68+ cells are associated with early relapse in pleomorphic ILC



#### 'Immune-hot' and immune-cold' tumour cells differ at the transcriptomic level



SiS

•••

<del>گ</del> 10

TG01725 TILs Score: 35%



adj.p-val

0.146

#### Figure 5: 'Immune-hot' and 'immune-cold' tumour cells differ at the transcriptomic level

A) Selection of representative 10 x 13mm tumour regions from 3 pleomorphic ILCs orientated on the NanoString slides C) Selection and segmentation of 'immune-hot' and 'immune-cold' tumour regions of interest (ROIs): Top panel shows two distinct ROIs; left is an 'immune-hot' ROI characterised by the presence of CD45+ immune cells, PanCK+ tumour cells and  $\alpha$ -SMA CAFs and right showing an 'immune-cold' ROI characterised by the presence of PanCK+ tumour cells (green) and  $\alpha$ -SMA CAFs. Bottom panel shows the segmentation of an individual 'immune-hot' ROI into distinct PanCK+ tumour,  $\alpha$ -SMA+ CAF and CD45+ immune cell compartments known as 'areas of interest' (AOIs). C) Twenty differentially expressed genes between 'immune-hot' and 'immune-cold' ROIs (P < 0.001). HOXB13 is associated with disease relapse and early relapse (< 3 years) in SCAN-B (n = 386).

#### Conclusions

 We generated a prognostic gene expression signature associated with metastases-free survival that validates in independent ILC cohorts.

**Figure 3: NanoString DSP identifies association between high CD68+ cells and early relapse:** A) Representative Immunofluorescent NanoString DSP images demonstrating immune heterogeneity across selected ROIs: i) IF image showing morphology markers: PanCK/CD45/CD3/DNA and 12 selected ROIs ii) IF images showing individual ROIs with CD45 (yellow), CD3 (red) and DNA (blue) channels iii) IF images showing individual ROIs with PanCK (green), CD45 (yellow) and DNA (blue) channels B) Differential protein expression in early vs late relapsing pleomorphic ILCs (n = 9) showing higher levels of CD68+ cells (macrophages) in early relapse.

- The majority of ILCs have low immune infiltrates, yet a minority of cases have higher infiltrates.
- Pleomorphic ILC has higher stromal TILs compared to non-pleomorphic ILC but the gross quantification of stromal TILs is not associated with clinical outcome in pleomorphic or nonpleomorphic ILC.
- Pleomorphic ILC has higher levels of macrophages compared to non-pleomorphic ILC. Whilst total CD68+ cells (macrophages) are not prognostic in pleomorphic and non-pleomorphic ILC, a high M2/M1 ratio is associated with worse metastasis-free survival in pleomorphic ILC.
- NanoString GeoMx<sup>®</sup> Human Whole Transcriptome identifies differences between 'immune-hot' and 'immune-cold' tumour cells at the gene expression level in pleomorphic ILC and identifies genes associated with a poor prognosis, highlighting that the immune landscape may shape the aggressive nature of the tumour cells.

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by The Wellcome Trust, CRUK and Breast Cancer Now. We acknowledge NHS funding to the ICR/Royal Marsden Hospital Biomedical Research Centre.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

